

Applicants: Begemann et al.  
Serial No. 10/024,226  
Page 9

### REMARKS

In the above referenced Office Action, various claims were rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Levine et al. or 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Alt. Applicant respectfully traverses these rejections.


The Examiner has indicated certain claims allowable if rewritten in independent form. Applicant has rewritten the claims as suggested; however, Applicant reserves the right to present the cancelled claims and any unclaimed subject matter in a subsequently filed continuing application without limitation or prejudice as the rejections of record are traversed.

The Office Action did not clearly address claims 32 to include claim 34 and this is believed to be consistent with the indication of allowable subject matter in the remainder of the office action.

As the claims have been indicated allowable, notice of the same is respectfully requested. Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 2/3/05

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel G. Chapik  
Reg. No. 43,424  
(763) 514-3066  
Customer No. 27581